



Community Health Profile



Funding for the Community Health and the Built Environment project was provided by the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada in partnership with the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

Suggested Citation: Community Health and the Built Environment Project Team (2011). *Community Health Profile: North Central Edmonton*. Edmonton, AB: Community Health and the Built Environment Project, School of Public Health, University of Alberta.

INTRODUCTION

The Objective

This activity was designed to compile information about the health status and health decisions of community residents. The goal of this activity is to provide the community with community-specific health data that might contribute to decision-making for the purposes of improving physical activity and healthy eating behaviours. The community-specific information is compared to regional (former health region) and provincial levels.

The Canadian Communities Health Survey (CCHS)

The CCHS is a national health survey conducted periodically by Statistics Canada. Our analysis used data collected in 2007 and 2008 from over 130,000 respondents in more than 135 health regions across Canada. The survey gathers information related to health status, use of health care resources, and exposure to factors that increase or decrease an individual's risk for disease.

Our Approach to Analyzing the Data

The CCHS was designed to report data at the national, provincial and health region levels. Though the number of respondents across the country is large, the number of individuals responding in any single community can be quite small. As a result, estimates of community level health data can be very unreliable. For this reason, we used statistical methods to predict community levels based in part on: (1) the information from the community respondents; and, (2) the levels of respondents in the surrounding region and province.

Overview of this Document

This document is broken into two sections:

1) Summary Tables

These tables contain a summary of the results from the analysis. Results from the North Central Edmonton CCHS analysis are presented here in comparison with the results from the regional and provincial levels.

2) Detailed Results

These tables include all of the data used to create the *Summary Tables*. A series of tables provide the actual and modeled proportions of respondents at the community, regional and provincial levels indicating a set of responses for all variables of interest. To assist in understanding these tables, a description of the analysis approach can be found on [page 47](#).

To assist in your navigation of this document, we have inserted links. These links are in blue font and are underlined. For example, if you would like to read the description of the analysis approach in the above paragraph, click on the link. After you have read the description, there will be a link on that page to bring you back here. In the *Summary Tables* section you will also find links to the more detailed results for each variable. This will allow you to jump to any variable in the *Detailed Results* section for a closer examination, and then jump back again to the *Summary Table*. For each variable, there is one table in the *Summary Results* section and four tables in the *Detailed Results* section.



1) SUMMARY TABLES

Key

- ↑ = The Community Average is Probably Above the Regional/Provincial Average
- = The Community Average is Likely Similar to the Regional/Provincial Average
- ↓ = The Community Average is Probably Below the Regional/Provincial Average

Percentage of respondents indicating their self-perceived health as: "Excellent" or "Very Good".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	—	↑
	35-64	—	↑
	65+	—	↑
Male	18-34	—	↑
	35-64	—	↑
	65+	—	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their self-perceived health compared to one year ago as: "Much Better" or "Somewhat Better".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	—
	35-64	↑	—
	65+	↑	—
Male	18-34	↑	—
	35-64	↑	—
	65+	↑	—

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating their satisfaction with their life in general as: "Very Satisfied" or "Satisfied".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their self-perceived mental health as: "Excellent" or "Very Good".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	-
	35-64	↓	-
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their self-perceived life stress as: "Excellent" or "Very Good".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a sense of belonging to their local community as: "Very Strong" or "Somewhat Strong".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	↓
	35-64	–	↓
	65+	–	↓
Male	18-34	–	↓
	35-64	–	↓
	65+	–	↓

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents with a self-perceived health index score of: "Excellent" or "Very Good".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	↑
	35-64	–	↑
	65+	–	↑
Male	18-34	–	↑
	35-64	–	↑
	65+	–	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents with a self-perceived mental health index score of: "Excellent" or "Very Good".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	–
	35-64	↓	–
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents who made a change to improve their health over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	-	↓
	35-64	-	↓
	65+	-	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	-	↓
	65+	-	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents who made a change by participating in more exercise over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	-	-
	35-64	-	-
	65+	-	-
Male	18-34	-	-
	35-64	-	-
	65+	-	-

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents who made a change by losing weight over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	-	-
	35-64	-	-
	65+	-	-
Male	18-34	-	-
	35-64	↓	-
	65+	↓	-

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents who made a change by improving their eating habits over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents who made a change by quitting smoking, or smoking less over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they think they should do something to improve their health.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	↓
	35-64	–	↓
	65+	–	↓
Male	18-34	–	↓
	35-64	–	↓
	65+	–	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating that the most important thing to improve their health is: "More Exercise".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating that the most important thing to improve their health is: "Losing Weight".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating that the most important thing to improve their health is: "Changing Eating Habits".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating that the most important thing to improve their health is: "Stopping Smoking or Smoking Less".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	–
	35-64	–	–
	65+	–	↓
Male	18-34	–	–
	35-64	–	–
	65+	–	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have a barrier stopping them from making changes to improve their health.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "a Physical Condition".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "a Disability".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	-	-
	35-64	-	-
	65+	-	-
Male	18-34	-	-
	35-64	-	-
	65+	-	-

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "Being too Stressed".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "Being too Costly".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "Options not Available in their Area".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	–
	35-64	–	–
	65+	–	–
Male	18-34	–	–
	35-64	–	–
	65+	–	–

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "a Transportation Problem".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "a Weather Problem".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	-	.
	35-64	-	.
	65+	-	.
Male	18-34	-	.
	35-64	-	.
	65+	-	.

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to improve their health over the next year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to participate in more physical activity over the next year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to lose weight over the next year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to change their eating habits over the next year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to quit smoking over the next year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to drink less alcohol over the next year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to reduce stress over the next year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	↓
	35-64	–	↓
	65+	–	↓
Male	18-34	–	↓
	35-64	–	↓
	65+	–	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their reason for choosing/avoiding certain foods as: "Concerned about Body Weight".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their reason for choosing/avoiding certain foods as: "Concerned about Heart Disease".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	↑
	35-64	–	↑
	65+	–	↑
Male	18-34	↓	↑
	35-64	–	↑
	65+	↓	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their reason for choosing/avoiding certain foods as: "Concerned about Cancer".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	–
	35-64	↓	–
	65+	↓	–
Male	18-34	↓	–
	35-64	↓	–
	65+	↓	–

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating a reason they choose certain foods as: "Lower Fat Content".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a reason they avoid certain foods as: "Fat Content".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	-
	35-64	↓	-
	65+	↓	-
Male	18-34	↓	-
	35-64	↓	-
	65+	↓	-

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a reason they avoid certain foods as: "Type of Fat they Contain".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↑
	35-64	↓	↑
	65+	↓	↑
Male	18-34	↓	↑
	35-64	↓	↑
	65+	↓	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a reason they avoid certain foods as: "Concern for Salt Content".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↑
	35-64	↓	↑
	65+	↓	↑
Male	18-34	↓	↑
	35-64	↓	↑
	65+	↓	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a reason they avoid certain foods as: "Concern for Cholesterol Content".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↑
	35-64	↓	↑
	65+	↓	↑
Male	18-34	↓	↑
	35-64	↓	↑
	65+	↓	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a reason they avoid certain foods as: "Concern for Calorie Content".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they choose or avoid certain foods based on health concerns.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	-
	35-64	↓	-
	65+	↓	-
Male	18-34	↓	-
	35-64	↓	-
	65+	↓	-

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they choose certain foods based on their contents.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they avoid certain foods based on their contents.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	–
	35-64	↓	–
	65+	↓	–
Male	18-34	↓	–
	35-64	↓	–
	65+	↓	–

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they spend more than 5 hours per week on a computer.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating they spend more than 10 hours per week watching television and movies.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they spend more than 2 hours per week reading.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating they spend more than 19 hours per week doing sedentary activities.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they spend more than 14 hours per week doing sedentary activities (excluding reading).

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with their leisure activities as: "Very Satisfied".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with their financial situation as: "Very Satisfied" or "Satisfied".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with themselves as: "Very Satisfied".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with the way their body looks as: "Very Satisfied" or "Satisfied".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with their relationships with family members as: "Very Satisfied" or "Satisfied".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with their relationships with friends as: "Very Satisfied".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with their housing as: "Very Satisfied".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with their neighbourhood as: "Very Satisfied".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to a nice place for walking at or near their workplace.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	↓
	35-64	–	↓
	65+	–	↓
Male	18-34	–	↓
	35-64	–	↓
	65+	–	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to playing fields at or near their workplace.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	↓
	35-64	–	↓
	65+	–	↓
Male	18-34	–	↓
	35-64	–	↓
	65+	–	↓

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to a gym at or near their workplace.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	-	-
	35-64	-	-
	65+	-	-
Male	18-34	-	-
	35-64	-	-
	65+	-	-

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to fitness classes at or near their workplace.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	-	-
	35-64	-	-
	65+	-	-
Male	18-34	-	-
	35-64	-	-
	65+	-	-

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to organized sport teams at or near their workplace.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	-	↓
	35-64	-	↓
	65+	-	↓
Male	18-34	-	↓
	35-64	-	↓
	65+	-	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to showers or change rooms at or near their workplace.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to programs to improve their health at or near their workplace.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to physical activity facilities at their workplace.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	-	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating the food situation in their household over the past year as: "Always Having Enough".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they worried they would run out of food over the past year as: "Often True" or "Sometimes True".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they had no food or money for more food over the past year as: "Often True" or "Sometimes True".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they could not afford balanced meals over the past year as: "Often True" or "Sometimes True".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating they relied on only a few kinds of low-cost food for kids over the past year as: "Often True" or "Sometimes True".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	–
	35-64	–	–
	65+	–	–
Male	18-34	–	–
	35-64	–	–
	65+	–	–

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they could not feed their children a balanced meal over the past year as: "Often True" or "Sometimes True".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	–	–
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	–	–

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their children did not eat enough due to limited money over the past year as: "Often True" or "Sometimes True".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	–
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	–	–
Male	18-34	↑	–
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	–	–

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an adult in their household cut the size, or skipped a meal due to limited money over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	–
	35-64	–	↑
	65+	–	–
Male	18-34	–	–
	35-64	–	↑
	65+	–	–

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating an adult in their household cut the size, or skipped a meal due to limited money almost every month over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they ate less than they felt they should due to limited money over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	–	–
	35-64	–	–
	65+	–	–
Male	18-34	–	–
	35-64	–	–
	65+	–	–

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they were hungry but could not eat due to limited money over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they lost weight due to limited money for food over the past 12 months.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating adults in their household did not eat for a whole day due to limited money for food over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating adults in their household did not eat for a whole day almost every month due to limited money for food over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	–
	35-64	↑	–
	65+	–	–
Male	18-34	–	–
	35-64	↑	–
	65+	–	–

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating they cut the size of children's meals due to limited money for food over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating that children in their household skipped meals due to limited money for food over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating children in their household skipped meals almost every month due to limited money for food over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating children in their household went hungry due to limited money for food over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating children in their household went hungry for a whole day due to limited money for food over the past year.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.
	35-64	.	.
	65+	.	.
Male	18-34	.	.
	35-64	.	.
	65+	.	.

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents receiving a Household Food Security Status index score of: "Moderately Food Insecure" or "Severely Food Insecure".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating being overweight or obese.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓
Male	18-34	↓	↓
	35-64	↓	↓
	65+	↓	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating having diabetes.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑
Male	18-34	↑	↑
	35-64	↑	↑
	65+	↑	↑

[See detailed results...](#)



Percentage of respondents receiving a Physical Activity Index score of "Active" or "Moderately Active".

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison	Provincial Comparison
Female	18-34	-	↓
	35-64	-	↓
	65+	-	↓
Male	18-34	-	↓
	35-64	-	↓
	65+	-	↓

[See detailed results...](#)

2) DETAILED RESULTS

Overview

The detailed results for each variable are presented in four tables. These tables are broken down by gender and age group. Links to the [Glossary of Terms](#) section are provided for clarification of some concepts described in this section.

Table 1 includes the estimated percent of community residents indicating a given set of responses. The estimates are derived by process of: 1) [weighting](#) (i.e., "Weighted") or 2) [modeling](#) (i.e., "Modeled"). There is also a chance that we were unable to present an estimate. This is because the number of individuals indicating the response(s) was too low, and if we presented the number we would risk breaking an individual's confidentiality. If this is the case, we have omitted the estimate and its standard deviation from the table and indicated the variable is "Unreleasable". The check-boxes demonstrate if the estimate resulted from weighting or modeling, or if the estimate was determined to be unreleasable.

Table 2 presents comparisons between the community estimates and the estimates from the regional (former health region) and provincial respondents. These comparisons will indicate if each of the community estimates is "Lower", "Similar" or "Higher" than the regional or provincial estimates. These comparisons are based on the proportion of [bootstraps](#) of the community data found to be above the regional or provincial estimate. An additional advantage of the bootstrap process is that this comparison is still available when the actual estimate is unreleasable.

Table 3 is a look at the estimates for the Aspen Health Region. This table also includes a comparison between the regional estimates and the estimates from the province. As per the description for Table 1, the check-boxes demonstrate if the estimate resulted from weighting or modeling, or if the estimate was determined to be unreleasable.

Table 4 is a look at the actual estimates for the province. As per the description for Table 1, the check-boxes demonstrate if the estimate resulted from weighting or modeling, or if the estimate was determined to be unreleasable.

Tables 1, 3 and 4 report the [standard error](#) of the estimated percentages.

Key for the Bootstrap Comparisons

An identical Key to the one used for the Summary Tables is used here.

- ↑ = Probably Above the Regional/Provincial Estimate (>65% of bootstraps above estimate)
- = Likely Similar to the Regional/Provincial Estimate (45 - 65% of bootstraps above estimate)
- ↓ = Probably Below the Regional/Provincial Estimate (<45% of bootstraps above estimate)

Example of Table Descriptions

An example description is provided under each table for the first CCHS variable on pages 49-50.



Glossary of Terms

Weighting - CCHS Weighted Estimates ("Weighted")

In a survey, a sample of individuals is chosen to represent the larger population. Each particular individual may represent many others with similar characteristics. However, in complex surveys, the samples may not perfectly reflect the characteristics of the population. Thus, particular individuals may come to represent greater or fewer numbers of similar individuals. This is accomplished by assigning different weights to each individual's responses. Weights are assigned in such a way that, when combined, the estimates more accurately reflect the responses that would have been expected from the population overall. For example, if the sample included a smaller ratio of females to males than the population did, each female would be assigned a higher weight than each male to compensate for this under sampling.

[Return to Overview...](#)

Modeling - CCHS Modeled Estimates ("Modeled")

Unfortunately, for some groupings, information will have been collected on too few individuals to allow the publication of the weighted estimates (as this might result in a breach of confidentiality, i.e., because the data describes a relatively small geographic area, there is danger of releasing information that could, inadvertently, reveal a person's identity). In this case, a complex statistical procedure is used to combine information from the grouping with information from other groupings which are similar in order to generate an estimate that can be released. This requires that a model of who is similar to whom be adopted. For example, a weighted analysis might suggest an estimate cannot be released as there were too few females of a particular age indicating a given set of responses. A modeled estimate can be generated by including some information from females in the same age group from outside of the community, but from nearby communities that are found in the same region. As the estimate is then calculated based on a larger sample size, the modeled estimate can be released while remaining an accurate reflection of this augmented group of individuals.

[Return to Overview...](#)

Bootstraps - CCHS Bootstrap Comparisons

Sometimes, even the modeled estimates will not be based on a large enough sample of individuals to allow them to be released. Here the computer is used to generate a large number of random samples from the original sample, and estimates are calculated for each of these re-samples. Each re-sample estimate is then compared to the estimate of the larger area (either region or province) and the number of times that the re-sample estimate exceeds the estimate for the region or province is tabulated. This allows statements like 'Probably Above the Regional Estimate' without providing enough information to breach confidentiality of any individual.

[Return to Overview...](#)

Standard Error

The standard error is a measure of how precise the estimate is likely to be, with smaller values indicating more a more precise estimate. If we were to repeat the survey many times, we would expect the actual percentage to be within the interval of approximately two times the estimated percentage 19 times out of 20.

[Return to Overview...](#)



Percentage of respondents indicating their self-perceived health as: "Excellent" or "Very Good".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	70.7%	4.0%		√	
	35-64	63.5%	4.5%		√	
	65+	40.9%	4.7%		√	
Male	18-34	69.4%	4.2%		√	
	35-64	67.4%	11.9%	√		
	65+	39.3%	4.7%		√	

Based on our estimates, approximately 71% of females in the community between the ages of 18 and 34 perceive their health to be "Excellent" or "Very Good". The estimate was obtained using the data modeling process. The [standard error](#) is a measure of how precise the estimate is likely to be, with smaller values indicating more precise estimates.

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	51.4%	–	69.6%	↑
	35-64	52.6%	–	69.4%	↑
	65+	52.0%	–	66.4%	↑
Male	18-34	51.0%	–	69.6%	↑
	35-64	52.0%	–	70.0%	↑
	65+	50.2%	–	66.0%	↑

For both genders and all age groups, the community estimates were found to be "Likely Similar to the Regional Estimate" (45 - 65% of bootstraps were above the provincial estimate). For both genders and all age groups, more than 45% of the bootstrap estimates were found to be above both the provincial estimates. Thus, all community estimates were determined to be "Probably Above the Regional Estimate".

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	69.0%	3.2%	√			85.2%	↑
	35-64	64.3%	2.7%	√			86.0%	↑
	65+	41.0%	3.8%	√			81.4%	↑
Male	18-34	70.4%	3.6%	√			86.0%	↑
	35-64	58.9%	2.8%	√			85.8%	↑
	65+	30.9%	3.9%	√			82.2%	↑

Based on our estimates, approximately 69% of females in the region between the ages of 18 and 34 perceive their health to be “Excellent” or “Very Good”. The estimate was obtained using the data weighting process. The [standard error](#) is a measure of how precise the estimate is likely to be, with smaller values indicating more precise estimates. For both genders and all age groups, more than 65% of the bootstrap estimates were found to be above both the provincial estimates. Thus, all regional estimates were determined to be “Probably Above the Provincial Estimate”.

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	69.3%	14.0%	√		
	35-64	65.4%	12.4%	√		
	65+	38.9%	5.0%		√	
Male	18-34	71.2%	18.9%	√		
	35-64	60.8%	12.5%	√		
	65+	37.3%	5.2%		√	

Based on our estimates, approximately 69% of females in the province between the ages of 18 and 34 perceive their health to be “Excellent” or “Very Good”. The estimate was obtained using the data weighting process. The [standard error](#) is a measure of how precise the estimate is likely to be, with smaller values indicating more precise estimates.

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their self-perceived health compared to one year ago as: "Much Better" or "Somewhat Better".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	32.8%	5.9%		√	
	35-64	19.5%	4.1%		√	
	65+	15.0%	3.5%		√	
Male	18-34	29.6%	5.7%		√	
	35-64	17.3%	3.7%		√	
	65+	13.2%	3.2%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	78.6%	↑	63.0%	-
	35-64	79.0%	↑	60.2%	-
	65+	77.0%	↑	59.0%	-
Male	18-34	78.2%	↑	60.2%	-
	35-64	79.0%	↑	61.6%	-
	65+	77.6%	↑	55.8%	-

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	26.2%	3.0%	√			7.4%	↓
	35-64	20.9%	2.2%	√			4.8%	↓
	65+	10.5%	2.2%	√			7.2%	↓
Male	18-34	38.6%	4.0%	√			8.4%	↓
	35-64	12.0%	1.7%	√			7.0%	↓
	65+	10.8%	1.1%		√		6.6%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	31.1%	5.6%		√	
	35-64	18.4%	4.4%		√	
	65+	14.1%	3.6%		√	
Male	18-34	28.2%	5.6%		√	
	35-64	16.1%	3.8%		√	
	65+	12.5%	3.5%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their satisfaction with their life in general as: "Very Satisfied" or "Satisfied".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	84.9%	4.4%		√	
	35-64	83.2%	4.7%		√	
	65+	82.3%	5.2%		√	
Male	18-34	85.7%	4.1%		√	
	35-64	88.4%	6.4%	√		
	65+	83.3%	4.9%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	1.4%	↓	1.4%	↓
	35-64	1.2%	↓	1.0%	↓
	65+	2.0%	↓	1.8%	↓
Male	18-34	1.6%	↓	1.6%	↓
	35-64	1.4%	↓	1.4%	↓
	65+	1.6%	↓	1.6%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	26.2%	3.0%	√			7.4%	↓
	35-64	20.9%	2.2%	√			4.8%	↓
	65+	10.5%	2.2%	√			7.2%	↓
Male	18-34	38.6%	4.0%	√			8.4%	↓
	35-64	12.0%	1.7%	√			7.0%	↓
	65+	10.8%	1.1%		√		6.6%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	93.8%	6.4%	√		
	35-64	91.6%	9.9%	√		
	65+	91.1%	8.1%	√		
Male	18-34	94.8%	5.9%	√		
	35-64	90.6%	5.2%	√		
	65+	91.8%	7.9%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their self-perceived mental health as: "Excellent" or "Very Good".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	74.7%	3.8%		√	
	35-64	69.8%	4.4%		√	
	65+	62.7%	4.9%		√	
Male	18-34	75.9%	3.9%		√	
	35-64	81.3%	14.0%	√		
	65+	64.2%	5.1%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	20.4%	↓	34.4%	↓
	35-64	20.6%	↓	34.4%	↓
	65+	20.6%	↓	33.8%	↓
Male	18-34	20.8%	↓	35.0%	–
	35-64	21.8%	↓	36.4%	–
	65+	21.2%	↓	34.6%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	78.4%	2.8%	√			84.2%	↑
	35-64	75.4%	2.4%	√			86.2%	↑
	65+	63.7%	3.7%	√			84.4%	↑
Male	18-34	82.6%	3.2%	√			83.8%	↑
	35-64	70.6%	2.3%	√			87.6%	↑
	65+	65.5%	4.2%	√			80.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	79.1%	12.0%	√		
	35-64	73.1%	10.7%	√		
	65+	65.0%	16.3%	√		
Male	18-34	80.7%	12.5%	√		
	35-64	73.6%	12.7%	√		
	65+	65.8%	18.0%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their self-perceived life stress as: "Excellent" or "Very Good".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	30.4%	8.4%		√	
	35-64	37.2%	8.9%		√	
	65+	18.1%	5.7%		√	
Male	18-34	27.2%	7.7%		√	
	35-64	33.6%	8.4%		√	
	65+	15.9%	5.1%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	84.8%	↑	80.6%	↑
	35-64	86.4%	↑	82.0%	↑
	65+	86.4%	↑	80.0%	↑
Male	18-34	85.8%	↑	81.2%	↑
	35-64	86.8%	↑	81.8%	↑
	65+	85.8%	↑	80.8%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	78.4%	2.8%	√			84.2%	↑
	35-64	75.4%	2.4%	√			86.2%	↑
	65+	63.7%	3.7%	√			84.4%	↑
Male	18-34	82.6%	3.2%	√			83.8%	↑
	35-64	70.6%	2.3%	√			87.6%	↑
	65+	65.5%	4.2%	√			80.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	22.6%	4.8%		√	
	35-64	28.6%	5.4%		√	
	65+	12.8%	3.3%		√	
Male	18-34	19.9%	4.5%		√	
	35-64	25.5%	4.9%		√	
	65+	11.1%	3.0%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a sense of belonging to their local community as: "Very Strong" or "Somewhat Strong".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	49.3%	6.6%		√	
	35-64	59.0%	6.4%		√	
	65+	67.0%	5.8%		√	
Male	18-34	47.7%	6.6%		√	
	35-64	43.7%	12.9%	√		
	65+	65.5%	6.0%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	45.4%	–	10.6%	↓
	35-64	43.2%	–	11.4%	↓
	65+	44.0%	–	12.4%	↓
Male	18-34	42.4%	–	10.6%	↓
	35-64	42.4%	–	13.4%	↓
	65+	44.8%	–	12.2%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	49.8%	3.7%	√			0.0%	↓
	35-64	62.3%	2.7%	√			0.0%	↓
	65+	66.4%	4.0%	√			0.0%	↓
Male	18-34	50.1%	3.9%	√			0.0%	↓
	35-64	52.7%	3.4%	√			0.2%	↓
	65+	68.0%	4.7%	√			0.2%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	51.6%	15.8%	√		
	35-64	62.0%	14.3%	√		
	65+	69.8%	14.0%	√		
Male	18-34	56.1%	7.5%		√	
	35-64	61.6%	12.6%	√		
	65+	72.3%	15.9%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents with a self-perceived health index score of: "Excellent" or "Very Good".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	70.7%	4.0%		√	
	35-64	63.5%	4.5%		√	
	65+	40.9%	4.7%		√	
Male	18-34	69.4%	4.2%		√	
	35-64	67.4%	11.9%	√		
	65+	39.3%	4.7%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	51.4%	-	69.6%	↑
	35-64	52.6%	-	69.4%	↑
	65+	52.0%	-	66.4%	↑
Male	18-34	51.0%	-	69.6%	↑
	35-64	52.0%	-	70.0%	↑
	65+	50.2%	-	66.0%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	69.0%	3.2%	√			85.2%	↑
	35-64	64.3%	2.7%	√			86.0%	↑
	65+	41.0%	3.8%	√			81.4%	↑
Male	18-34	70.4%	3.6%	√			86.0%	↑
	35-64	58.9%	2.8%	√			85.8%	↑
	65+	30.9%	3.9%	√			82.2%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	69.3%	14.0%	√		
	35-64	65.4%	12.4%	√		
	65+	38.9%	5.0%		√	
Male	18-34	71.2%	18.9%	√		
	35-64	60.8%	12.5%	√		
	65+	37.3%	5.2%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents with a self-perceived mental health index score of: "Excellent" or "Very Good".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	74.7%	3.8%		√	
	35-64	69.8%	4.4%		√	
	65+	62.7%	4.9%		√	
Male	18-34	75.9%	3.9%		√	
	35-64	81.3%	14.0%	√		
	65+	64.2%	5.1%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	20.4%	↓	34.4%	↓
	35-64	20.6%	↓	34.4%	↓
	65+	20.6%	↓	33.8%	↓
Male	18-34	20.8%	↓	35.0%	–
	35-64	21.8%	↓	36.4%	–
	65+	21.2%	↓	34.6%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	78.4%	2.8%	√			84.2%	↑
	35-64	75.4%	2.4%	√			86.2%	↑
	65+	63.7%	3.7%	√			84.4%	↑
Male	18-34	82.6%	3.2%	√			83.8%	↑
	35-64	70.6%	2.3%	√			87.6%	↑
	65+	65.5%	4.2%	√			80.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	79.1%	12.0%	√		
	35-64	73.1%	10.7%	√		
	65+	65.0%	16.3%	√		
Male	18-34	80.7%	12.5%	√		
	35-64	73.6%	12.7%	√		
	65+	65.8%	18.0%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents who made a change to improve their health over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	66.0%	4.2%		√	
	35-64	57.9%	4.4%		√	
	65+	47.3%	4.8%		√	
Male	18-34	57.9%	4.5%		√	
	35-64	49.9%	10.3%	√		
	65+	38.8%	4.7%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	35.8%	–	27.2%	↓
	35-64	35.6%	–	27.2%	↓
	65+	37.2%	–	26.0%	↓
Male	18-34	34.2%	↓	25.0%	↓
	35-64	35.2%	–	23.8%	↓
	65+	36.2%	–	25.8%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	61.2%	3.4%	√			27.4%	↓
	35-64	58.6%	2.8%	√			23.8%	↓
	65+	48.0%	3.9%	√			23.2%	↓
Male	18-34	65.6%	3.8%	√			28.8%	↓
	35-64	47.5%	3.3%	√			23.8%	↓
	65+	42.7%	5.2%	√			26.6%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	65.8%	13.1%	√		
	35-64	61.2%	12.1%	√		
	65+	50.5%	5.2%		√	
Male	18-34	63.2%	15.4%	√		
	35-64	50.1%	15.8%	√		
	65+	41.8%	5.5%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents who made a change by participating in more exercise over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	37.2%	5.5%		√	
	35-64	30.2%	4.9%		√	
	65+	20.4%	3.8%		√	
Male	18-34	33.1%	5.2%		√	
	35-64	30.5%	8.9%	√		
	65+	17.6%	3.3%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	49.0%	-	55.4%	-
	35-64	47.6%	-	52.2%	-
	65+	47.4%	-	50.8%	-
Male	18-34	50.4%	-	55.2%	-
	35-64	47.2%	-	52.4%	-
	65+	48.2%	-	52.6%	-

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	35.9%	3.2%	√			65.0%	↑
	35-64	30.5%	2.6%	√			59.6%	-
	65+	19.5%	3.5%	√			61.0%	-
Male	18-34	27.9%	4.4%	√			62.8%	-
	35-64	23.4%	2.7%	√			61.8%	-
	65+	14.6%	2.6%	√			57.6%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	33.3%	11.0%	√		
	35-64	29.8%	4.8%		√	
	65+	20.1%	3.9%		√	
Male	18-34	32.7%	5.2%		√	
	35-64	26.1%	4.4%		√	
	65+	17.4%	3.7%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents who made a change by losing weight over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	6.5%	2.0%		√	
	35-64	8.7%	2.6%		√	
	65+	7.7%	2.4%		√	
Male	18-34	4.4%	1.4%		√	
	35-64	6.0%	1.8%		√	
	65+	5.3%	1.7%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	36.8%	–	51.6%	–
	35-64	36.8%	–	54.0%	–
	65+	35.6%	–	53.8%	–
Male	18-34	35.2%	–	52.8%	–
	35-64	34.0%	↓	54.2%	–
	65+	34.6%	↓	58.2%	–

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	7.0%	1.0%		√		80.0%	↑
	35-64	11.0%	1.4%	√			87.4%	↑
	65+	5.9%	1.5%	√			78.8%	↑
Male	18-34	9.5%	1.6%	√			79.0%	↑
	35-64	7.4%	1.2%	√			82.6%	↑
	65+	11.5%	3.7%	√			76.2%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents who made a change by improving their eating habits over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	13.0%	↓	21.0%	↓
	35-64	13.6%	↓	20.2%	↓
	65+	13.8%	↓	19.8%	↓
Male	18-34	13.4%	↓	21.0%	↓
	35-64	13.0%	↓	21.4%	↓
	65+	14.0%	↓	19.4%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	11.8%	2.0%	√			76.8%	↑
	35-64	6.2%	1.4%	√			78.6%	↑
	65+	7.0%	2.3%	√			70.2%	↑
Male	18-34	18.9%	3.5%	√			72.4%	↑
	35-64	7.5%	1.7%	√			77.6%	↑
	65+	4.5%	0.8%		√		66.8%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	11.2%	3.3%		√	
	35-64	8.6%	2.6%		√	
	65+	4.8%	1.6%		√	
Male	18-34	9.6%	3.0%		√	
	35-64	7.1%	2.1%		√	
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents who made a change by quitting smoking, or smoking less over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.2%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.2%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.8%	↓	0.0%	↓
Male	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	1.0%	↓	0.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	3.4%	0.7%		√		1.6%	↓
	35-64	1.7%	0.5%	√			0.2%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	5.2%	↓
Male	18-34	5.5%	1.0%		√		1.6%	↓
	35-64	3.9%	0.9%	√			0.0%	↓
	65+	1.4%	0.5%		√		7.2%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they think they should do something to improve their health.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	69.1%	5.7%		√	
	35-64	68.6%	5.6%		√	
	65+	43.9%	6.4%		√	
Male	18-34	67.9%	5.7%		√	
	35-64	67.4%	5.7%		√	
	65+	42.5%	6.3%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	41.6%	–	22.4%	↓
	35-64	41.2%	–	20.4%	↓
	65+	40.2%	–	19.8%	↓
Male	18-34	40.6%	–	22.4%	↓
	35-64	41.8%	–	22.2%	↓
	65+	40.4%	–	18.4%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	70.8%	3.1%	√			7.0%	↓
	35-64	68.4%	2.4%	√			3.0%	↓
	65+	50.3%	4.1%	√			2.6%	↓
Male	18-34	66.7%	3.9%	√			6.0%	↓
	35-64	61.1%	3.0%	√			3.6%	↓
	65+	43.2%	4.9%	√			3.0%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	72.7%	17.3%	√		
	35-64	72.8%	16.3%	√		
	65+	49.5%	6.2%		√	
Male	18-34	73.8%	13.0%	√		
	35-64	68.6%	11.2%	√		
	65+	48.1%	6.5%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating that the most important thing to improve their health is: "More Exercise".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	29.8%	5.1%		√	
	35-64	31.6%	5.0%		√	
	65+	21.2%	4.1%		√	
Male	18-34	27.7%	4.8%		√	
	35-64	50.9%	11.1%	√		
	65+	19.5%	3.8%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	17.0%	↓	21.6%	↓
	35-64	13.8%	↓	18.0%	↓
	65+	16.0%	↓	18.2%	↓
Male	18-34	18.4%	↓	21.4%	↓
	35-64	15.4%	↓	19.8%	↓
	65+	16.8%	↓	18.8%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	32.4%	3.6%	√			62.6%	-
	35-64	36.2%	2.6%	√			65.4%	↑
	65+	24.2%	3.3%	√			59.0%	-
Male	18-34	32.4%	3.9%	√			59.0%	-
	35-64	31.2%	3.4%	√			63.8%	-
	65+	25.5%	4.2%	√			58.6%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	33.6%	4.4%		√	
	35-64	35.7%	4.7%		√	
	65+	24.4%	3.7%		√	
Male	18-34	31.5%	4.3%		√	
	35-64	33.3%	4.3%		√	
	65+	22.6%	3.8%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating that the most important thing to improve their health is: "Losing Weight".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
Male	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	4.8%	0.7%		√		76.2%	↑
	35-64	11.3%	1.5%	√			84.2%	↑
	65+	12.2%	3.0%	√			77.8%	↑
Male	18-34	3.7%	0.5%		√		76.8%	↑
	35-64	11.5%	1.7%	√			82.2%	↑
	65+	6.6%	1.0%		√		73.4%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	4.7%	0.9%	√		
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating that the most important thing to improve their health is: "Changing Eating Habits".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	69.6%	↑	67.6%	↑
	35-64	68.2%	↑	66.8%	↑
	65+	68.4%	↑	65.4%	↑
Male	18-34	70.8%	↑	67.6%	↑
	35-64	69.0%	↑	67.0%	↑
	65+	69.2%	↑	65.6%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	12.0%	2.2%	√			35.8%	–
	35-64	7.7%	1.6%	√			31.0%	↓
	65+	3.8%	0.6%		√		37.2%	–
Male	18-34	14.8%	3.3%	√			38.8%	–
	35-64	6.1%	1.4%	√			32.8%	↓
	65+	3.9%	1.0%	√			38.6%	–

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	16.0%	3.5%		√	
	35-64	9.4%	2.4%		√	
	65+	4.0%	1.2%		√	
Male	18-34	16.2%	3.7%		√	
	35-64	9.6%	2.3%		√	
	65+	4.0%	1.2%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating that the most important thing to improve their health is: "Stopping Smoking or Smoking Less".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	64.2%	–	38.0%	–
	35-64	64.4%	–	35.0%	–
	65+	61.6%	–	32.4%	↓
Male	18-34	63.6%	–	37.8%	–
	35-64	63.0%	–	37.8%	–
	65+	61.4%	–	33.6%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	14.2%	1.8%	√			0.2%	↓
	35-64	6.0%	1.1%	√			0.0%	↓
	65+	2.0%	0.4%		√		0.8%	↓
Male	18-34	12.8%	2.0%	√			0.0%	↓
	35-64	7.5%	1.6%	√			0.0%	↓
	65+	2.6%	0.6%		√		1.6%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have a barrier stopping them from making changes to improve their health.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	57.6%	11.8%		√	
	35-64	60.0%	11.5%		√	
	65+	51.0%	12.2%		√	
Male	18-34	54.1%	12.0%		√	
	35-64	56.5%	11.8%		√	
	65+	47.5%	12.2%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	77.6%	↑	72.0%	↑
	35-64	77.8%	↑	73.2%	↑
	65+	77.8%	↑	72.8%	↑
Male	18-34	79.6%	↑	73.2%	↑
	35-64	80.0%	↑	72.6%	↑
	65+	78.2%	↑	73.4%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	48.1%	4.4%	√			19.4%	↓
	35-64	50.8%	3.0%	√			18.0%	↓
	65+	38.0%	5.8%	√			24.0%	↓
Male	18-34	46.1%	4.5%	√			22.8%	↓
	35-64	48.4%	3.6%	√			17.6%	↓
	65+	27.1%	7.0%	√			26.4%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	49.5%	6.8%		√	
	35-64	50.1%	15.0%	√		
	65+	42.7%	7.1%		√	
Male	18-34	45.7%	7.1%		√	
	35-64	48.5%	6.5%		√	
	65+	39.0%	7.4%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "a Physical Condition".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	81.6%	↑	79.0%	↑
	35-64	83.6%	↑	80.2%	↑
	65+	81.6%	↑	82.2%	↑
Male	18-34	80.6%	↑	77.8%	↑
	35-64	84.6%	↑	81.6%	↑
	65+	83.8%	↑	83.8%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.			√	37.8%	-
	35-64	4.0%	1.0%		√		39.4%	-
	65+	17.0%	4.0%		√		50.0%	-
Male	18-34	.	.			√	38.4%	-
	35-64	3.0%	0.8%		√		38.2%	-
	65+	12.9%	3.3%		√		46.8%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "a Disability".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	42.6%	-	47.4%	-
	35-64	43.8%	-	50.2%	-
	65+	44.6%	-	54.0%	-
Male	18-34	41.4%	-	48.0%	-
	35-64	42.8%	-	50.4%	-
	65+	42.4%	-	53.0%	-

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	2.5%	0.8%		√		64.4%	–
	35-64	7.0%	1.4%		√		74.6%	↑
	65+	28.9%	5.5%		√		79.8%	↑
Male	18-34	1.8%	0.6%		√		64.8%	–
	35-64	9.5%	3.1%	√			67.0%	↑
	65+	22.3%	5.1%		√		75.6%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "Being too Stressed".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	5.8%	↓	6.4%	↓
	35-64	5.4%	↓	7.2%	↓
	65+	8.2%	↓	8.6%	↓
Male	18-34	4.6%	↓	8.0%	↓
	35-64	7.6%	↓	8.4%	↓
	65+	8.4%	↓	9.6%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	10.7%	1.9%	√			56.4%	-
	35-64	7.6%	1.7%	√			62.8%	-
	65+	.	.			√	49.8%	-
Male	18-34	10.6%	2.7%	√			57.2%	-
	35-64	5.5%	1.6%		√		62.6%	-
	65+	.	.			√	50.0%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	2.2%	0.0%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "Being too Costly".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
Male	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.2%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	3.8%	1.1%	√			68.4%	↑
	35-64	7.9%	2.0%	√			69.2%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	56.4%	-
Male	18-34	5.4%	1.6%		√		72.2%	↑
	35-64	.	.			√	67.4%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	59.2%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "Options not Available in their Area".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	56.2%	-	58.0%	-
	35-64	52.4%	-	57.0%	-
	65+	55.8%	-	58.0%	-
Male	18-34	48.4%	-	55.8%	-
	35-64	57.4%	-	58.6%	-
	65+	56.6%	-	58.0%	-

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.			√	73.4%	↑
	35-64	.	.			√	74.2%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	66.2%	↑
Male	18-34	.	.			√	66.6%	↑
	35-64	.	.			√	79.4%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	68.4%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "a Transportation Problem".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
Male	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.			√	84.8%	↑
	35-64	3.7%	1.2%	√			91.0%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	85.8%	↑
Male	18-34	.	.			√	78.8%	↑
	35-64	.	.			√	75.0%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	72.8%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	1.9%	0.6%	√		
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	0.1%	0.0%	√		
Male	18-34	0.2%	0.0%	√		
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a barrier to improving their health as: "a Weather Problem".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	41.2%	–	.	.
	35-64	42.4%	–	.	.
	65+	42.2%	–	.	.
Male	18-34	42.6%	–	.	.
	35-64	39.4%	–	.	.
	65+	40.2%	–	.	.

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.			√	.	.
	35-64	.	.			√	.	.
	65+	16.2%	4.6%		√		.	.
Male	18-34	.	.			√	.	.
	35-64	.	.			√	.	.
	65+	.	.			√	.	.

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to improve their health over the next year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	60.7%	7.5%		√	
	35-64	65.2%	7.1%		√	
	65+	55.7%	7.8%		√	
Male	18-34	52.6%	7.9%		√	
	35-64	57.3%	7.6%		√	
	65+	47.5%	7.9%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.8%	↓	2.0%	↓
	35-64	1.2%	↓	1.6%	↓
	65+	1.0%	↓	2.0%	↓
Male	18-34	0.6%	↓	2.2%	↓
	35-64	1.0%	↓	1.8%	↓
	65+	1.0%	↓	2.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	83.4%	2.9%	√			97.0%	↑
	35-64	83.7%	2.8%	√			96.2%	↑
	65+	86.3%	4.8%	√			92.8%	↑
Male	18-34	74.9%	3.7%	√			94.0%	↑
	35-64	76.0%	3.8%	√			95.8%	↑
	65+	72.5%	6.1%	√			89.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	74.3%	15.1%	√		
	35-64	81.5%	14.6%	√		
	65+	73.0%	7.7%		√	
Male	18-34	70.5%	17.6%	√		
	35-64	71.9%	15.3%	√		
	65+	66.3%	9.0%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to participate in more physical activity over the next year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	76.6%	7.5%		√	
	35-64	75.7%	7.7%		√	
	65+	74.2%	8.0%		√	
Male	18-34	74.4%	7.8%		√	
	35-64	73.5%	8.1%		√	
	65+	71.9%	8.3%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	75.8%	↑	86.4%	↑
	35-64	73.2%	↑	85.2%	↑
	65+	73.6%	↑	86.2%	↑
Male	18-34	75.8%	↑	85.4%	↑
	35-64	75.6%	↑	85.2%	↑
	65+	75.0%	↑	85.6%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	69.9%	4.1%	√			92.6%	↑
	35-64	71.6%	3.1%	√			95.4%	↑
	65+	77.9%	5.6%	√			90.2%	↑
Male	18-34	69.0%	5.0%	√			90.0%	↑
	35-64	63.7%	4.2%	√			94.2%	↑
	65+	66.8%	3.4%		√		86.2%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	68.5%	21.5%	√		
	35-64	67.5%	14.8%	√		
	65+	65.6%	6.8%		√	
Male	18-34	65.8%	6.7%		√	
	35-64	65.6%	14.1%	√		
	65+	63.0%	7.2%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to lose weight over the next year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.4%	↓	1.8%	↓
	35-64	0.4%	↓	1.8%	↓
	65+	0.8%	↓	1.8%	↓
Male	18-34	0.4%	↓	2.6%	↓
	35-64	0.4%	↓	1.8%	↓
	65+	0.6%	↓	1.8%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	8.7%	2.3%	√			88.6%	↑
	35-64	16.9%	2.8%	√			95.2%	↑
	65+	24.5%	5.8%	√			89.8%	↑
Male	18-34	6.6%	1.1%		√		88.0%	↑
	35-64	16.0%	3.1%	√			91.6%	↑
	65+	18.5%	2.7%		√		91.2%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to change their eating habits over the next year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	76.0%	↑	71.6%	↑
	35-64	74.8%	↑	70.8%	↑
	65+	74.8%	↑	69.4%	↑
Male	18-34	75.4%	↑	71.2%	↑
	35-64	75.4%	↑	71.0%	↑
	65+	74.6%	↑	70.4%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	20.1%	3.4%	√			27.0%	↓
	35-64	21.9%	3.3%	√			24.6%	↓
	65+	12.8%	2.1%		√		27.6%	↓
Male	18-34	19.6%	4.2%	√			24.6%	↓
	35-64	13.8%	2.9%	√			23.6%	↓
	65+	9.9%	1.7%		√		24.2%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	25.2%	6.8%		√	
	35-64	20.2%	6.3%		√	
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	20.2%	6.2%		√	
	35-64	15.8%	4.9%		√	
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to quit smoking over the next year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	30.6%	10.0%		√	
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	97.6%	↑	88.4%	↑
	35-64	98.2%	↑	88.2%	↑
	65+	95.4%	↑	81.2%	↑
Male	18-34	98.0%	↑	89.6%	↑
	35-64	98.4%	↑	88.6%	↑
	65+	96.0%	↑	80.6%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	20.3%	2.7%	√			0.0%	↓
	35-64	5.3%	1.6%	√			0.0%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	2.8%	↓
Male	18-34	15.1%	3.2%	√			0.0%	↓
	35-64	10.9%	2.1%	√			0.0%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	3.0%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to drink less alcohol over the next year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	0.0%	0.0%		√	
	35-64	0.0%	0.0%		√	
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
Male	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.			√	56.0%	-
	35-64	.	.			√	61.8%	-
	65+	.	.			√	49.6%	-
Male	18-34	.	.			√	66.8%	↑
	35-64	.	.			√	62.2%	-
	65+	.	.			√	55.6%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	0.5%	0.1%	√		
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an intention to reduce stress over the next year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	46.8%	–	32.0%	↓
	35-64	46.6%	–	31.2%	↓
	65+	39.0%	–	30.0%	↓
Male	18-34	46.4%	–	30.4%	↓
	35-64	47.4%	–	30.2%	↓
	65+	38.0%	–	28.8%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	3.0%	0.9%		√		8.2%	↓
	35-64	5.2%	1.1%		√		4.2%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	26.4%	↓
Male	18-34	2.2%	0.6%		√		6.4%	↓
	35-64	3.9%	1.0%		√		9.2%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	26.0%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their reason for choosing/avoiding certain foods as: "Concerned about Body Weight".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	49.3%	6.3%		√	
	35-64	59.1%	6.0%		√	
	65+	49.3%	6.2%		√	
Male	18-34	33.3%	5.6%		√	
	35-64	41.1%	10.2%	√		
	65+	33.3%	5.6%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	6.2%	↓	31.4%	↓
	35-64	7.0%	↓	30.8%	↓
	65+	5.6%	↓	30.6%	↓
Male	18-34	5.2%	↓	30.6%	↓
	35-64	5.2%	↓	30.0%	↓
	65+	5.2%	↓	29.4%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	59.8%	3.7%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	63.9%	2.4%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	54.9%	3.5%	√			100.0%	↑
Male	18-34	44.3%	3.7%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	51.5%	3.1%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	52.4%	5.0%	√			99.6%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	56.3%	13.7%	√		
	35-64	61.5%	13.5%	√		
	65+	52.2%	5.1%		√	
Male	18-34	35.8%	4.5%		√	
	35-64	45.3%	4.8%		√	
	65+	35.8%	4.7%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their reason for choosing/avoiding certain foods as: "Concerned about Heart Disease".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	36.6%	5.7%		√	
	35-64	57.1%	6.2%		√	
	65+	50.6%	6.4%		√	
Male	18-34	28.4%	4.9%		√	
	35-64	47.8%	6.1%		√	
	65+	41.3%	6.0%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	36.2%	–	83.4%	↑
	35-64	35.8%	–	82.4%	↑
	65+	35.2%	–	81.6%	↑
Male	18-34	34.6%	↓	82.8%	↑
	35-64	35.6%	–	83.2%	↑
	65+	33.4%	↓	82.2%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	38.7%	3.6%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	54.6%	2.7%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	50.7%	4.1%	√			100.0%	↑
Male	18-34	35.9%	4.0%	√			99.4%	↑
	35-64	45.1%	3.2%	√			99.8%	↑
	65+	44.1%	4.7%	√			100.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	31.2%	5.0%		√	
	35-64	52.4%	14.0%	√		
	65+	44.6%	5.8%		√	
Male	18-34	23.9%	4.3%		√	
	35-64	41.8%	5.5%		√	
	65+	35.8%	5.6%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their reason for choosing/avoiding certain foods as: "Concerned about Cancer".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	25.8%	6.1%		√	
	35-64	41.9%	7.7%		√	
	65+	32.1%	6.9%		√	
Male	18-34	16.1%	4.3%		√	
	35-64	28.6%	8.9%	√		
	65+	20.8%	5.1%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	20.4%	↓	51.0%	-
	35-64	23.2%	↓	51.0%	-
	65+	20.8%	↓	50.2%	-
Male	18-34	19.8%	↓	47.2%	-
	35-64	19.0%	↓	53.0%	-
	65+	19.8%	↓	48.6%	-

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	29.9%	3.3%	√			99.8%	↑
	35-64	46.3%	2.6%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	37.9%	4.0%	√			99.8%	↑
Male	18-34	26.7%	3.7%	√			99.4%	↑
	35-64	33.6%	3.2%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	25.0%	4.8%	√			99.4%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	24.8%	4.6%		√	
	35-64	44.5%	14.1%	√		
	65+	31.2%	5.4%		√	
Male	18-34	15.6%	3.4%		√	
	35-64	27.6%	4.8%		√	
	65+	20.2%	4.4%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a reason they choose certain foods as: "Lower Fat Content".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	58.5%	5.1%		√	
	35-64	71.9%	4.3%		√	
	65+	69.4%	4.6%		√	
Male	18-34	42.5%	5.2%		√	
	35-64	52.1%	11.4%	√		
	65+	54.3%	5.3%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.2%	↓	18.2%	↓
	35-64	0.2%	↓	18.2%	↓
	65+	0.2%	↓	19.8%	↓
Male	18-34	0.2%	↓	16.2%	↓
	35-64	0.4%	↓	18.2%	↓
	65+	0.2%	↓	18.4%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Respons(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	71.4%	3.2%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	83.2%	1.9%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	77.8%	3.9%	√			100.0%	↑
Male	18-34	58.6%	4.3%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	66.5%	3.0%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	77.7%	3.9%	√			100.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	66.2%	15.7%	√		
	35-64	79.2%	19.3%	√		
	65+	74.3%	14.3%	√		
Male	18-34	47.4%	6.1%		√	
	35-64	63.3%	13.9%	√		
	65+	69.4%	18.9%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a reason they avoid certain foods as: "Fat Content".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	66.6%	4.9%		√	
	35-64	78.1%	3.8%		√	
	65+	74.6%	4.3%		√	
Male	18-34	49.5%	5.5%		√	
	35-64	63.6%	5.1%		√	
	65+	59.0%	5.4%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	3.6%	↓	52.4%	-
	35-64	3.6%	↓	51.6%	-
	65+	4.0%	↓	53.4%	-
Male	18-34	3.8%	↓	51.2%	-
	35-64	2.6%	↓	53.4%	-
	65+	3.4%	↓	50.4%	-

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	71.4%	3.2%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	83.2%	1.9%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	77.8%	3.9%	√			100.0%	↑
Male	18-34	58.6%	4.3%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	66.5%	3.0%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	77.7%	3.9%	√			100.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	69.9%	18.1%	√		
	35-64	79.0%	14.1%	√		
	65+	73.7%	15.2%	√		
Male	18-34	49.7%	5.5%		√	
	35-64	64.0%	11.3%	√		
	65+	69.1%	15.4%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a reason they avoid certain foods as: "Type of Fat they Contain".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	69.1%	5.0%		√	
	35-64	79.5%	4.0%		√	
	65+	78.2%	4.2%		√	
Male	18-34	53.0%	5.9%		√	
	35-64	62.9%	9.6%	√		
	65+	64.3%	5.6%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	30.6%	↓	91.6%	↑
	35-64	32.4%	↓	91.4%	↑
	65+	32.6%	↓	91.6%	↑
Male	18-34	32.6%	↓	91.2%	↑
	35-64	32.2%	↓	91.2%	↑
	65+	33.8%	↓	90.6%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	71.7%	3.4%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	82.5%	2.0%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	76.7%	3.5%	√			100.0%	↑
Male	18-34	63.4%	3.8%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	60.8%	3.0%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	69.0%	4.2%	√			100.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	65.0%	17.0%	√		
	35-64	75.4%	15.0%	√		
	65+	71.3%	14.4%	√		
Male	18-34	45.2%	5.9%		√	
	35-64	59.1%	14.1%	√		
	65+	62.5%	15.9%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a reason they avoid certain foods as: "Concern for Salt Content".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	49.8%	5.8%		√	
	35-64	64.8%	5.4%		√	
	65+	72.9%	4.7%		√	
Male	18-34	38.4%	5.6%		√	
	35-64	55.8%	8.9%	√		
	65+	62.8%	5.6%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	29.8%	↓	80.2%	↑
	35-64	31.2%	↓	85.0%	↑
	65+	31.2%	↓	83.0%	↑
Male	18-34	31.8%	↓	77.8%	↑
	35-64	31.8%	↓	82.8%	↑
	65+	33.0%	↓	80.2%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	50.0%	3.5%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	72.8%	2.7%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	73.8%	3.7%	√			100.0%	↑
Male	18-34	44.7%	3.7%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	57.8%	3.3%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	76.6%	3.8%	√			99.6%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	42.0%	13.5%	√		
	35-64	63.5%	15.4%	√		
	65+	68.3%	17.3%	√		
Male	18-34	33.6%	5.4%		√	
	35-64	50.0%	14.8%	√		
	65+	57.9%	6.0%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a reason they avoid certain foods as: "Concern for Cholesterol Content".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	43.3%	4.7%		√	
	35-64	60.9%	4.7%		√	
	65+	64.5%	4.5%		√	
Male	18-34	38.9%	4.6%		√	
	35-64	45.5%	10.0%	√		
	65+	60.3%	4.7%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	27.2%	↓	86.8%	↑
	35-64	29.8%	↓	86.4%	↑
	65+	30.6%	↓	85.0%	↑
Male	18-34	26.2%	↓	87.2%	↑
	35-64	28.2%	↓	86.2%	↑
	65+	28.4%	↓	84.8%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	48.5%	3.5%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	64.1%	2.8%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	68.2%	3.8%	√			99.8%	↑
Male	18-34	45.5%	4.0%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	53.2%	3.3%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	70.3%	4.2%	√			100.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	38.3%	5.4%		√	
	35-64	56.1%	14.1%	√		
	65+	60.7%	15.7%	√		
Male	18-34	34.3%	5.5%		√	
	35-64	50.1%	12.5%	√		
	65+	55.4%	6.0%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating a reason they avoid certain foods as: "Concern for Calorie Content".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	52.4%	6.0%		√	
	35-64	62.3%	5.6%		√	
	65+	55.0%	5.9%		√	
Male	18-34	32.9%	5.3%		√	
	35-64	27.7%	9.0%	√		
	65+	35.2%	5.5%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	2.4%	↓	31.0%	↓
	35-64	2.2%	↓	30.6%	↓
	65+	2.4%	↓	31.6%	↓
Male	18-34	2.6%	↓	29.0%	↓
	35-64	2.6%	↓	30.8%	↓
	65+	2.6%	↓	29.4%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	59.3%	3.7%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	75.2%	2.2%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	59.3%	4.1%	√			100.0%	↑
Male	18-34	49.5%	3.5%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	47.6%	3.2%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	51.2%	4.5%	√			100.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	58.2%	15.3%	√		
	35-64	67.7%	14.0%	√		
	65+	55.4%	17.6%	√		
Male	18-34	35.8%	5.4%		√	
	35-64	45.1%	14.3%	√		
	65+	38.3%	5.7%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they choose or avoid certain foods based on health concerns.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	60.9%	8.0%		√	
	35-64	74.1%	6.4%		√	
	65+	68.4%	7.2%		√	
Male	18-34	40.6%	8.1%		√	
	35-64	55.7%	8.2%		√	
	65+	48.7%	8.3%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	14.8%	↓	41.6%	-
	35-64	14.8%	↓	43.8%	-
	65+	13.8%	↓	44.0%	-
Male	18-34	15.0%	↓	40.4%	-
	35-64	15.0%	↓	42.0%	-
	65+	13.6%	↓	42.4%	-

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	72.1%	3.1%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	75.4%	2.1%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	75.7%	3.7%	√			100.0%	↑
Male	18-34	48.2%	3.9%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	60.6%	3.0%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	60.0%	4.4%	√			100.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	66.3%	15.2%	√		
	35-64	75.1%	12.9%	√		
	65+	71.0%	16.1%	√		
Male	18-34	41.8%	5.3%		√	
	35-64	58.4%	13.9%	√		
	65+	50.1%	5.8%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they choose certain foods based on their contents.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	70.1%	5.1%		√	
	35-64	80.9%	3.7%		√	
	65+	83.4%	3.6%		√	
Male	18-34	48.8%	6.0%		√	
	35-64	55.8%	11.8%	√		
	65+	67.1%	5.5%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.4%	↓	16.2%	↓
	35-64	0.4%	↓	16.2%	↓
	65+	0.6%	↓	18.8%	↓
Male	18-34	0.2%	↓	12.4%	↓
	35-64	0.4%	↓	15.4%	↓
	65+	0.4%	↓	14.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	77.7%	2.7%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	87.9%	1.7%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	90.9%	2.2%	√			99.8%	↑
Male	18-34	66.8%	3.8%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	74.2%	2.9%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	84.2%	3.4%	√			100.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	79.4%	17.7%	√		
	35-64	85.7%	14.4%	√		
	65+	86.0%	13.5%	√		
Male	18-34	55.4%	7.1%		√	
	35-64	71.1%	15.0%	√		
	65+	82.1%	13.5%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they avoid certain foods based on their contents.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	78.9%	3.8%		√	
	35-64	87.3%	2.6%		√	
	65+	87.6%	2.7%		√	
Male	18-34	61.8%	5.5%		√	
	35-64	65.9%	8.5%	√		
	65+	75.4%	4.5%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	5.8%	↓	54.2%	-
	35-64	6.4%	↓	53.6%	-
	65+	6.8%	↓	53.6%	-
Male	18-34	4.8%	↓	51.6%	-
	35-64	6.0%	↓	52.8%	-
	65+	6.6%	↓	53.2%	-

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	77.7%	2.7%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	87.9%	1.7%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	90.9%	2.2%	√			99.8%	↑
Male	18-34	66.8%	3.8%	√			100.0%	↑
	35-64	74.2%	2.9%	√			100.0%	↑
	65+	84.2%	3.4%	√			100.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	81.7%	15.0%	√		
	35-64	88.3%	12.5%	√		
	65+	85.3%	11.2%	√		
Male	18-34	62.3%	19.7%	√		
	35-64	75.6%	10.4%	√		
	65+	82.4%	13.7%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they spend more than 5 hours per week on a computer.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	48.9%	7.4%		√	
	35-64	35.3%	6.4%		√	
	65+	26.5%	5.6%		√	
Male	18-34	58.3%	7.2%		√	
	35-64	41.9%	10.1%	√		
	65+	34.6%	6.5%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	79.6%	↑	91.4%	↑
	35-64	81.8%	↑	91.0%	↑
	65+	80.2%	↑	91.0%	↑
Male	18-34	79.6%	↑	91.4%	↑
	35-64	80.8%	↑	91.0%	↑
	65+	79.4%	↑	90.6%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	41.6%	3.9%	√			98.2%	↑
	35-64	27.7%	2.0%	√			99.0%	↑
	65+	20.6%	3.2%	√			93.8%	↑
Male	18-34	56.0%	3.6%	√			97.8%	↑
	35-64	38.7%	3.3%	√			98.4%	↑
	65+	42.8%	4.5%	√			92.8%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	38.4%	6.3%		√	
	35-64	26.3%	5.2%		√	
	65+	19.1%	4.2%		√	
Male	18-34	48.0%	6.7%		√	
	35-64	34.2%	5.8%		√	
	65+	25.8%	5.5%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they spend more than 10 hours per week watching television and movies.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	43.7%	4.2%		√	
	35-64	51.6%	4.4%		√	
	65+	73.0%	3.6%		√	
Male	18-34	44.7%	4.2%		√	
	35-64	77.3%	9.9%	√		
	65+	73.8%	3.5%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	98.6%	↑	97.0%	↑
	35-64	98.6%	↑	97.0%	↑
	65+	98.4%	↑	97.0%	↑
Male	18-34	98.6%	↑	98.0%	↑
	35-64	98.6%	↑	97.4%	↑
	65+	98.4%	↑	97.0%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	35.8%	3.6%	√			15.8%	↓
	35-64	42.2%	2.3%	√			11.2%	↓
	65+	65.2%	4.1%	√			21.0%	↓
Male	18-34	31.7%	3.7%	√			16.0%	↓
	35-64	40.3%	3.4%	√			14.2%	↓
	65+	47.5%	5.1%	√			22.4%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	35.4%	5.2%		√	
	35-64	44.6%	14.3%	√		
	65+	67.5%	16.7%	√		
Male	18-34	36.3%	5.3%		√	
	35-64	43.9%	5.6%		√	
	65+	58.9%	14.4%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they spend more than 2 hours per week reading.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	48.0%	6.4%		√	
	35-64	55.4%	6.4%		√	
	65+	72.5%	5.4%		√	
Male	18-34	35.1%	5.8%		√	
	35-64	49.3%	13.4%	√		
	65+	60.7%	6.4%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	2.6%	↓	3.6%	↓
	35-64	3.2%	↓	3.6%	↓
	65+	4.4%	↓	4.8%	↓
Male	18-34	3.4%	↓	3.4%	↓
	35-64	4.2%	↓	4.2%	↓
	65+	4.6%	↓	4.6%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	61.6%	4.0%	√			53.8%	-
	35-64	66.9%	2.9%	√			55.0%	-
	65+	79.3%	3.1%	√			64.0%	-
Male	18-34	51.2%	4.0%	√			46.0%	-
	35-64	47.1%	3.3%	√			52.8%	-
	65+	71.0%	4.6%	√			53.4%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	63.4%	14.9%	√		
	35-64	67.0%	17.2%	√		
	65+	79.1%	13.3%	√		
Male	18-34	45.7%	7.7%		√	
	35-64	52.7%	7.4%		√	
	65+	73.1%	16.3%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they spend more than 19 hours per week doing sedentary activities.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	64.3%	8.7%		√	
	35-64	61.4%	9.0%		√	
	65+	80.7%	6.1%		√	
Male	18-34	63.1%	8.8%		√	
	35-64	71.4%	12.7%	√		
	65+	79.8%	6.3%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	93.8%	↑	91.4%	↑
	35-64	92.2%	↑	90.6%	↑
	65+	93.0%	↑	91.4%	↑
Male	18-34	93.0%	↑	90.8%	↑
	35-64	92.6%	↑	90.8%	↑
	65+	93.0%	↑	90.8%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	48.9%	3.3%	√			23.4%	↓
	35-64	48.2%	2.8%	√			17.4%	↓
	65+	69.2%	3.4%	√			28.8%	↓
Male	18-34	54.1%	3.6%	√			21.6%	↓
	35-64	44.5%	3.0%	√			21.4%	↓
	65+	63.4%	4.6%	√			29.6%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	52.0%	7.0%		√	
	35-64	49.0%	7.1%		√	
	65+	74.0%	18.1%	√		
Male	18-34	50.7%	7.1%		√	
	35-64	47.5%	6.9%		√	
	65+	66.6%	15.4%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they spend more than 14 hours per week doing sedentary activities (excluding reading).

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	70.2%	4.6%		√	
	35-64	65.4%	4.9%		√	
	65+	75.4%	4.0%		√	
Male	18-34	75.6%	4.1%		√	
	35-64	71.6%	9.4%	√		
	65+	80.1%	3.5%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	100.0%	↑	100.0%	↑
	35-64	100.0%	↑	100.0%	↑
	65+	100.0%	↑	100.0%	↑
Male	18-34	100.0%	↑	100.0%	↑
	35-64	100.0%	↑	100.0%	↑
	65+	100.0%	↑	100.0%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	44.6%	3.4%	√			61.2%	-
	35-64	40.0%	2.5%	√			59.8%	-
	65+	47.2%	4.0%	√			66.2%	↑
Male	18-34	62.6%	3.4%	√			66.6%	↑
	35-64	49.9%	3.1%	√			62.6%	-
	65+	64.7%	4.8%	√			65.2%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	47.2%	8.9%		√	
	35-64	41.9%	8.8%		√	
	65+	52.9%	16.2%	√		
Male	18-34	53.8%	8.9%		√	
	35-64	48.5%	8.8%		√	
	65+	57.2%	16.2%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with their leisure activities as: "Very Satisfied".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	18.7%	2.8%		√	
	35-64	14.5%	2.3%		√	
	65+	19.5%	3.0%		√	
Male	18-34	20.4%	3.1%		√	
	35-64	15.9%	2.6%		√	
	65+	21.3%	3.3%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
Male	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.2%	↓	0.2%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	24.8%	3.2%	√			56.6%	-
	35-64	22.1%	2.3%	√			50.8%	-
	65+	28.7%	3.4%	√			56.4%	-
Male	18-34	32.1%	3.5%	√			53.4%	-
	35-64	28.5%	2.7%	√			56.2%	-
	65+	39.4%	4.9%	√			51.2%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	29.1%	6.5%		√	
	35-64	23.4%	5.6%		√	
	65+	30.2%	6.8%		√	
Male	18-34	31.5%	7.0%		√	
	35-64	25.2%	5.8%		√	
	65+	32.7%	7.5%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with their financial situation as: "Very Satisfied" or "Satisfied".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	50.2%	4.2%		√	
	35-64	65.3%	3.6%		√	
	65+	75.4%	3.3%		√	
Male	18-34	52.1%	4.3%		√	
	35-64	84.9%	9.0%	√		
	65+	76.8%	3.2%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.2%	↓	0.2%	↓
	35-64	0.2%	↓	0.2%	↓
	65+	0.2%	↓	0.2%	↓
Male	18-34	0.2%	↓	0.2%	↓
	35-64	0.2%	↓	0.2%	↓
	65+	0.2%	↓	0.2%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	65.2%	3.7%	√			43.0%	-
	35-64	78.4%	2.2%	√			43.2%	-
	65+	87.5%	2.6%	√			49.8%	-
Male	18-34	66.4%	3.8%	√			39.8%	-
	35-64	73.8%	2.6%	√			46.8%	-
	65+	87.7%	2.7%	√			50.4%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	63.2%	17.5%	√		
	35-64	75.9%	11.7%	√		
	65+	84.6%	11.5%	√		
Male	18-34	68.0%	20.4%	√		
	35-64	77.2%	16.0%	√		
	65+	84.5%	10.4%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with themselves as: "Very Satisfied".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	22.5%	5.2%		√	
	35-64	21.4%	5.0%		√	
	65+	21.6%	5.0%		√	
Male	18-34	24.9%	5.7%		√	
	35-64	23.8%	5.4%		√	
	65+	23.9%	5.5%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	8.6%	↓	12.6%	↓
	35-64	9.4%	↓	11.6%	↓
	65+	9.2%	↓	11.4%	↓
Male	18-34	10.0%	↓	13.0%	↓
	35-64	9.8%	↓	13.8%	↓
	65+	10.0%	↓	11.8%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	33.6%	3.4%	√			72.0%	↑
	35-64	24.2%	2.5%	√			71.8%	↑
	65+	24.6%	3.7%	√			71.4%	↑
Male	18-34	30.9%	3.7%	√			68.6%	↑
	35-64	30.0%	2.9%	√			79.6%	↑
	65+	31.7%	4.5%	√			64.8%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	28.2%	5.5%		√	
	35-64	27.2%	5.5%		√	
	65+	27.2%	5.5%		√	
Male	18-34	31.3%	6.3%		√	
	35-64	29.5%	5.2%		√	
	65+	30.3%	6.8%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with the way their body looks as: "Very Satisfied" or "Satisfied".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	72.4%	3.8%		√	
	35-64	65.0%	4.2%		√	
	65+	75.5%	3.5%		√	
Male	18-34	83.4%	2.7%		√	
	35-64	69.2%	8.8%	√		
	65+	85.5%	2.5%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	91.4%	↑	92.0%	↑
	35-64	92.4%	↑	92.4%	↑
	65+	90.8%	↑	91.4%	↑
Male	18-34	91.8%	↑	91.8%	↑
	35-64	91.6%	↑	92.8%	↑
	65+	91.0%	↑	91.6%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	72.3%	3.3%	√			51.6%	-
	35-64	61.3%	2.9%	√			51.8%	-
	65+	70.9%	4.0%	√			55.8%	-
Male	18-34	78.7%	3.1%	√			52.0%	-
	35-64	75.6%	2.8%	√			54.8%	-
	65+	84.9%	3.9%	√			54.2%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	67.0%	16.6%	√		
	35-64	58.4%	15.9%	√		
	65+	72.4%	12.7%	√		
Male	18-34	78.1%	14.8%	√		
	35-64	74.4%	13.1%	√		
	65+	83.6%	15.8%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with their relationships with family members as: "Very Satisfied" or "Satisfied".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	28.4%	3.9%		√	
	35-64	27.2%	3.7%		√	
	65+	35.3%	4.3%		√	
Male	18-34	26.0%	3.7%		√	
	35-64	31.0%	9.9%	√		
	65+	32.7%	4.2%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
Male	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	44.9%	4.0%	√			17.4%	↓
	35-64	43.6%	2.9%	√			12.4%	↓
	65+	52.9%	4.2%	√			21.4%	↓
Male	18-34	38.0%	3.9%	√			14.0%	↓
	35-64	38.3%	2.8%	√			13.4%	↓
	65+	47.6%	5.1%	√			19.0%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	44.8%	7.4%		√	
	35-64	43.5%	7.4%		√	
	65+	52.7%	7.7%		√	
Male	18-34	42.1%	7.4%		√	
	35-64	43.0%	14.1%	√		
	65+	50.1%	8.3%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with their relationships with friends as: "Very Satisfied".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	36.4%	6.0%		√	
	35-64	33.7%	5.7%		√	
	65+	39.9%	6.3%		√	
Male	18-34	31.4%	5.6%		√	
	35-64	37.0%	10.4%	√		
	65+	34.7%	6.0%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	14.6%	↓	9.2%	↓
	35-64	15.6%	↓	7.6%	↓
	65+	14.2%	↓	9.8%	↓
Male	18-34	16.4%	↓	8.2%	↓
	35-64	15.6%	↓	9.6%	↓
	65+	15.4%	↓	7.8%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	38.6%	3.6%	√			23.0%	↓
	35-64	39.0%	2.6%	√			17.8%	↓
	65+	42.8%	3.7%	√			25.4%	↓
Male	18-34	41.8%	3.7%	√			18.6%	↓
	35-64	29.5%	2.8%	√			23.4%	↓
	65+	37.1%	4.7%	√			17.0%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	43.9%	6.5%		√	
	35-64	41.2%	6.4%		√	
	65+	47.6%	6.6%		√	
Male	18-34	38.9%	6.7%		√	
	35-64	35.6%	5.5%		√	
	65+	42.7%	7.5%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with their housing as: "Very Satisfied".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	17.7%	3.5%		√	
	35-64	22.7%	4.1%		√	
	65+	26.6%	4.7%		√	
Male	18-34	17.5%	3.5%		√	
	35-64	22.5%	4.1%		√	
	65+	26.3%	4.8%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
Male	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	34.6%	3.4%	√			55.8%	-
	35-64	40.1%	2.8%	√			56.6%	-
	65+	44.9%	3.9%	√			61.6%	-
Male	18-34	31.5%	3.9%	√			54.0%	-
	35-64	38.5%	3.0%	√			57.8%	-
	65+	36.3%	5.0%	√			59.2%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	33.9%	6.9%		√	
	35-64	41.0%	7.6%		√	
	65+	49.9%	16.3%	√		
Male	18-34	33.6%	6.8%		√	
	35-64	40.6%	7.5%		√	
	65+	45.8%	8.2%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating how satisfied they are with their neighbourhood as: "Very Satisfied".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	11.8%	2.6%		√	
	35-64	15.9%	3.3%		√	
	65+	19.2%	4.0%		√	
Male	18-34	11.7%	2.6%		√	
	35-64	28.7%	9.1%	√		
	65+	19.1%	4.0%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
Male	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	30.3%	3.8%	√			85.6%	↑
	35-64	38.8%	2.9%	√			92.2%	↑
	65+	44.4%	4.0%	√			90.2%	↑
Male	18-34	30.0%	3.7%	√			84.2%	↑
	35-64	34.8%	2.8%	√			90.6%	↑
	65+	44.1%	5.4%	√			87.6%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	29.3%	7.4%		√	
	35-64	36.7%	8.6%		√	
	65+	42.1%	9.3%		√	
Male	18-34	29.1%	7.4%		√	
	35-64	38.0%	11.6%	√		
	65+	42.0%	9.6%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to a nice place for walking at or near their workplace.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	62.9%	6.5%		√	
	35-64	63.8%	6.4%		√	
	65+	63.0%	7.3%		√	
Male	18-34	46.6%	6.9%		√	
	35-64	47.6%	7.0%		√	
	65+	46.8%	7.8%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	43.2%	–	28.6%	↓
	35-64	44.8%	–	26.4%	↓
	65+	48.0%	–	31.0%	↓
Male	18-34	43.4%	–	25.8%	↓
	35-64	44.4%	–	25.4%	↓
	65+	45.8%	–	28.2%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	72.0%	4.1%	√			8.8%	↓
	35-64	65.2%	3.3%	√			7.0%	↓
	65+	63.5%	4.6%		√		25.0%	↓
Male	18-34	39.8%	4.4%	√			6.8%	↓
	35-64	45.7%	3.4%	√			5.2%	↓
	65+	47.1%	5.0%		√		22.6%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	67.9%	17.0%	√		
	35-64	66.6%	16.1%	√		
	65+	66.8%	7.1%		√	
Male	18-34	50.9%	6.8%		√	
	35-64	51.4%	14.8%	√		
	65+	51.1%	8.4%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to playing fields at or near their workplace.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	38.8%	10.0%		√	
	35-64	41.5%	10.2%		√	
	65+	50.6%	11.1%		√	
Male	18-34	32.2%	9.3%		√	
	35-64	34.7%	9.6%		√	
	65+	43.5%	11.0%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	37.8%	–	26.6%	↓
	35-64	37.0%	–	26.0%	↓
	65+	35.6%	–	31.2%	↓
Male	18-34	37.2%	–	25.2%	↓
	35-64	37.2%	–	25.0%	↓
	65+	35.2%	–	28.4%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	49.1%	4.5%	√			12.6%	↓
	35-64	46.2%	3.4%	√			8.6%	↓
	65+	54.1%	4.8%		√		30.8%	↓
Male	18-34	31.5%	3.8%	√			6.8%	↓
	35-64	35.3%	3.6%	√			8.4%	↓
	65+	46.7%	4.8%		√		28.0%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	44.5%	9.1%		√	
	35-64	47.4%	9.4%		√	
	65+	56.6%	9.8%		√	
Male	18-34	37.7%	9.3%		√	
	35-64	40.0%	8.4%		√	
	65+	49.8%	10.8%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to a gym at or near their workplace.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	53.9%	12.3%		√	
	35-64	51.2%	12.2%		√	
	65+	45.4%	12.7%		√	
Male	18-34	42.1%	12.1%		√	
	35-64	39.6%	11.8%		√	
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	38.6%	-	49.2%	-
	35-64	39.2%	-	50.2%	-
	65+	40.2%	-	48.4%	-
Male	18-34	37.8%	-	48.8%	-
	35-64	39.0%	-	48.2%	-
	65+	38.8%	-	49.8%	-

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	58.2%	4.3%	√			93.2%	↑
	35-64	54.1%	3.6%	√			94.4%	↑
	65+	48.4%	4.9%		√		75.0%	↑
Male	18-34	33.6%	4.4%	√			91.6%	↑
	35-64	43.9%	3.5%	√			90.0%	↑
	65+	36.3%	4.7%		√		73.4%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	53.7%	6.8%		√	
	35-64	50.8%	6.9%		√	
	65+	44.8%	7.7%		√	
Male	18-34	41.4%	6.6%		√	
	35-64	39.5%	11.9%	√		
	65+	33.1%	7.1%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to fitness classes at or near their workplace.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	41.9%	12.9%		√	
	35-64	42.2%	12.8%		√	
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	46.8%	-	55.4%	-
	35-64	46.8%	-	55.0%	-
	65+	46.8%	-	52.6%	-
Male	18-34	47.2%	-	53.8%	-
	35-64	47.0%	-	53.8%	-
	65+	46.6%	-	52.2%	-

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	42.8%	4.8%	√			85.2%	↑
	35-64	42.0%	3.5%	√			87.6%	↑
	65+	37.7%	5.1%		√		67.6%	↑
Male	18-34	28.5%	4.4%	√			79.0%	↑
	35-64	31.1%	3.5%	√			81.8%	↑
	65+	26.9%	4.3%		√		66.2%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	39.8%	7.7%		√	
	35-64	40.1%	7.8%		√	
	65+	35.3%	8.3%		√	
Male	18-34	28.9%	6.6%		√	
	35-64	29.0%	6.2%		√	
	65+	25.1%	7.1%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to organized sport teams at or near their workplace.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	30.9%	6.0%		√	
	35-64	28.6%	5.7%		√	
	65+	21.0%	6.4%		√	
Male	18-34	28.5%	5.8%		√	
	35-64	26.4%	5.5%		√	
	65+	19.2%	5.9%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	41.8%	–	19.4%	↓
	35-64	40.4%	–	17.4%	↓
	65+	42.0%	–	25.0%	↓
Male	18-34	40.2%	–	18.8%	↓
	35-64	39.8%	–	19.2%	↓
	65+	39.6%	–	24.6%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	36.2%	4.1%	√			9.2%	↓
	35-64	24.0%	3.9%	√			5.0%	↓
	65+	21.8%	4.8%		√		25.6%	↓
Male	18-34	31.4%	4.1%	√			6.2%	↓
	35-64	24.3%	3.2%	√			5.6%	↓
	65+	19.9%	4.4%		√		25.6%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	35.6%	7.1%		√	
	35-64	33.3%	7.2%		√	
	65+	24.8%	7.7%		√	
Male	18-34	33.2%	7.2%		√	
	35-64	30.7%	6.5%		√	
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to showers or change rooms at or near their workplace.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	43.8%	7.3%		√	
	35-64	44.7%	7.4%		√	
	65+	34.2%	8.1%		√	
Male	18-34	42.4%	7.3%		√	
	35-64	43.3%	7.4%		√	
	65+	32.9%	8.0%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	24.0%	↓	29.2%	↓
	35-64	25.2%	↓	28.4%	↓
	65+	29.0%	↓	33.6%	↓
Male	18-34	22.4%	↓	28.4%	↓
	35-64	25.2%	↓	28.6%	↓
	65+	29.2%	↓	33.2%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	36.2%	4.1%	√			9.2%	↓
	35-64	24.0%	3.9%	√			5.0%	↓
	65+	21.8%	4.8%		√		25.6%	↓
Male	18-34	31.4%	4.1%	√			6.2%	↓
	35-64	24.3%	3.2%	√			5.6%	↓
	65+	19.9%	4.4%		√		25.6%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	47.7%	6.7%		√	
	35-64	48.5%	6.8%		√	
	65+	37.7%	7.9%		√	
Male	18-34	46.2%	6.7%		√	
	35-64	47.2%	6.4%		√	
	65+	36.4%	8.2%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to programs to improve their health at or near their workplace.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	34.8%	8.3%		√	
	35-64	35.0%	8.2%		√	
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	26.9%	7.3%		√	
	35-64	27.1%	7.4%		√	
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	9.8%	↓	15.0%	↓
	35-64	10.2%	↓	14.8%	↓
	65+	14.2%	↓	16.6%	↓
Male	18-34	9.8%	↓	13.4%	↓
	35-64	10.6%	↓	14.4%	↓
	65+	13.8%	↓	15.6%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	46.4%	4.6%	√			73.4%	↑
	35-64	44.2%	3.9%	√			78.6%	↑
	65+	30.2%	5.4%		√		57.2%	-
Male	18-34	36.1%	4.5%	√			73.8%	↑
	35-64	37.9%	3.5%	√			76.4%	↑
	65+	22.9%	4.5%		√		55.2%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	43.3%	7.4%		√	
	35-64	43.5%	7.7%		√	
	65+	29.0%	7.8%		√	
Male	18-34	34.6%	7.1%		√	
	35-64	36.4%	12.0%	√		
	65+	22.1%	6.9%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they have access to physical activity facilities at their workplace.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	75.0%	7.7%		√	
	35-64	77.7%	7.2%		√	
	65+	71.8%	8.7%		√	
Male	18-34	62.7%	9.5%		√	
	35-64	66.1%	9.2%		√	
	65+	58.9%	10.5%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	33.2%	↓	31.0%	↓
	35-64	33.2%	↓	32.2%	↓
	65+	36.0%	–	34.6%	↓
Male	18-34	33.6%	↓	30.4%	↓
	35-64	31.8%	↓	30.0%	↓
	65+	34.0%	↓	31.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	83.9%	3.3%	√			44.0%	-
	35-64	82.3%	2.8%	√			47.0%	-
	65+	75.0%	4.2%		√		44.6%	-
Male	18-34	52.4%	5.0%	√			36.8%	-
	35-64	66.6%	3.7%	√			39.6%	-
	65+	62.3%	5.6%		√		40.6%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	80.2%	17.3%	√		
	35-64	81.0%	15.0%	√		
	65+	76.8%	23.5%	√		
Male	18-34	66.3%	16.9%	√		
	35-64	71.5%	16.8%	√		
	65+	63.5%	10.7%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating the food situation in their household over the past year as: "Always Having Enough".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	71.9%	6.7%		√	
	35-64	80.4%	5.1%		√	
	65+	87.2%	4.0%		√	
Male	18-34	73.7%	6.3%		√	
	35-64	90.5%	6.6%	√		
	65+	88.2%	3.6%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.6%	↓	0.2%	↓
	35-64	0.6%	↓	0.2%	↓
	65+	1.2%	↓	1.0%	↓
Male	18-34	0.6%	↓	0.4%	↓
	35-64	0.4%	↓	0.2%	↓
	65+	1.0%	↓	0.8%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	86.6%	2.2%	√			32.2%	↓
	35-64	91.1%	1.6%	√			34.2%	↓
	65+	89.3%	3.1%	√			41.0%	-
Male	18-34	87.2%	2.4%	√			34.2%	↓
	35-64	92.4%	1.5%	√			37.8%	-
	65+	96.6%	1.6%	√			40.0%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	86.6%	14.3%	√		
	35-64	90.2%	6.9%	√		
	65+	92.5%	12.2%	√		
Male	18-34	85.3%	9.9%	√		
	35-64	93.4%	6.9%	√		
	65+	96.0%	3.7%	√		

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they worried they would run out of food over the past year as: "Often True" or "Sometimes True".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	21.3%	5.4%		√	
	35-64	13.7%	3.7%		√	
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	17.4%	4.7%		√	
	35-64	11.0%	3.1%		√	
	65+	6.9%	2.2%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	98.0%	↑	98.0%	↑
	35-64	98.4%	↑	98.2%	↑
	65+	97.0%	↑	96.6%	↑
Male	18-34	98.2%	↑	98.0%	↑
	35-64	98.2%	↑	98.2%	↑
	65+	96.6%	↑	96.6%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	11.2%	2.3%	√			46.8%	-
	35-64	5.5%	1.3%	√			47.2%	-
	65+	4.2%	1.1%		√		45.4%	-
Male	18-34	7.0%	2.0%	√			47.8%	-
	35-64	4.6%	1.4%	√			44.0%	-
	65+	3.3%	0.8%		√		47.6%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they had no food or money for more food over the past year as: "Often True" or "Sometimes True".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	21.4%	3.9%		√	
	35-64	13.8%	2.8%		√	
	65+	9.9%	2.8%		√	
Male	18-34	18.8%	3.6%		√	
	35-64	12.0%	2.4%		√	
	65+	8.5%	2.3%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	100.0%	↑	100.0%	↑
	35-64	100.0%	↑	100.0%	↑
	65+	100.0%	↑	100.0%	↑
Male	18-34	99.8%	↑	100.0%	↑
	35-64	100.0%	↑	100.0%	↑
	65+	99.8%	↑	99.8%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	9.1%	2.0%	√			71.2%	↑
	35-64	4.5%	1.3%	√			67.6%	↑
	65+	3.6%	1.0%		√		57.0%	-
Male	18-34	6.2%	1.6%	√			70.8%	↑
	35-64	3.5%	1.0%	√			66.2%	↑
	65+	5.3%	1.1%	√			58.6%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they could not afford balanced meals over the past year as: "Often True" or "Sometimes True".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	14.2%	4.3%		√	
	35-64	8.4%	2.8%		√	
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	12.2%	3.8%		√	
	35-64	7.1%	2.3%		√	
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	90.4%	↑	91.2%	↑
	35-64	90.4%	↑	90.4%	↑
	65+	89.4%	↑	89.8%	↑
Male	18-34	90.6%	↑	90.6%	↑
	35-64	91.8%	↑	93.0%	↑
	65+	87.8%	↑	87.6%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	8.8%	1.9%	√			58.8%	-
	35-64	4.7%	0.7%		√		50.4%	-
	65+	3.4%	1.0%		√		48.0%	-
Male	18-34	5.5%	1.7%	√			48.8%	-
	35-64	3.8%	1.1%	√			57.0%	-
	65+	2.9%	0.8%		√		46.0%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they relied on only a few kinds of low-cost food for kids over the past year as: "Often True" or "Sometimes True".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	61.6%	–	63.6%	–
	35-64	60.8%	–	64.2%	–
	65+	42.0%	–	44.4%	–
Male	18-34	61.2%	–	63.4%	–
	35-64	61.2%	–	62.6%	–
	65+	40.4%	–	42.8%	–

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	8.9%	2.0%		√		78.8%	↑
	35-64	7.2%	2.1%	√			74.0%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	57.4%	-
Male	18-34	4.3%	1.3%		√		69.6%	↑
	35-64	2.7%	0.8%		√		68.8%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	56.8%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they could not feed their children a balanced meal over the past year as: "Often True" or "Sometimes True".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	64.8%	–	69.0%	↑
	35-64	65.4%	↑	70.0%	↑
	65+	44.2%	–	46.4%	–
Male	18-34	65.6%	↑	67.6%	↑
	35-64	65.2%	↑	69.0%	↑
	65+	42.2%	–	46.6%	–

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	7.9%	2.1%	√			79.2%	↑
	35-64	4.3%	1.3%		√		73.6%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	57.2%	-
Male	18-34	.	.			√	67.4%	↑
	35-64	.	.			√	63.8%	-
	65+	.	.			√	56.6%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating their children did not eat enough due to limited money over the past year as: "Often True" or "Sometimes True".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	70.0%	↑	64.2%	–
	35-64	70.2%	↑	65.0%	↑
	65+	57.6%	–	58.8%	–
Male	18-34	68.8%	↑	64.6%	–
	35-64	70.6%	↑	65.0%	↑
	65+	57.2%	–	58.6%	–

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.			√	11.8%	↓
	35-64	.	.			√	17.4%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	57.6%	-
Male	18-34	.	.			√	22.8%	↓
	35-64	.	.			√	27.8%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	57.8%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an adult in their household cut the size, or skipped a meal due to limited money over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	60.6%	–	62.8%	–
	35-64	61.2%	–	65.4%	↑
	65+	55.4%	–	57.6%	–
Male	18-34	61.6%	–	63.0%	–
	35-64	62.4%	–	67.0%	↑
	65+	55.4%	–	55.4%	–

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	54.3%	8.3%	√			56.4%	-
	35-64	35.9%	5.3%		√		60.4%	-
	65+	24.9%	7.5%		√		50.4%	-
Male	18-34	25.1%	4.8%		√		51.4%	-
	35-64	31.7%	5.7%		√		63.8%	-
	65+	.	.			√	46.0%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating an adult in their household cut the size, or skipped a meal due to limited money almost every month over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	21.0%	↓	13.8%	↓
	35-64	23.0%	↓	16.4%	↓
	65+	29.8%	↓	27.4%	↓
Male	18-34	21.0%	↓	16.4%	↓
	35-64	21.6%	↓	15.6%	↓
	65+	30.2%	↓	31.2%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	22.1%	6.6%		√		25.0%	↓
	35-64	.	.			√	32.0%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	46.0%	-
Male	18-34	31.5%	8.3%		√		31.8%	↓
	35-64	34.9%	10.4%		√		34.0%	↓
	65+	53.4%	17.0%		√		53.0%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they ate less than they felt they should due to limited money over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	44.8%	–	50.4%	–
	35-64	44.4%	–	48.8%	–
	65+	43.6%	–	46.4%	–
Male	18-34	43.8%	–	45.4%	–
	35-64	42.8%	–	47.8%	–
	65+	42.2%	–	43.0%	–

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	53.5%	8.3%	√			63.4%	-
	35-64	37.7%	5.3%		√		62.6%	-
	65+	36.3%	8.7%		√		55.6%	-
Male	18-34	26.2%	4.5%		√		53.2%	-
	35-64	27.5%	4.9%		√		59.6%	-
	65+	26.5%	7.8%		√		50.6%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they were hungry but could not eat due to limited money over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	14.8%	↓	19.6%	↓
	35-64	16.8%	↓	21.4%	↓
	65+	20.2%	↓	20.2%	↓
Male	18-34	15.0%	↓	19.0%	↓
	35-64	16.6%	↓	22.4%	↓
	65+	20.8%	↓	18.6%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	36.2%	7.6%	√			63.4%	-
	35-64	31.9%	8.5%	√			61.0%	-
	65+	.	.			√	45.6%	-
Male	18-34	20.5%	4.2%		√		60.2%	-
	35-64	23.1%	5.0%		√		66.2%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	40.4%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they lost weight due to limited money for food over the past 12 months.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	7.4%	↓	21.4%	↓
	35-64	7.8%	↓	24.2%	↓
	65+	10.4%	↓	23.2%	↓
Male	18-34	7.6%	↓	23.2%	↓
	35-64	9.6%	↓	21.6%	↓
	65+	12.6%	↓	23.2%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	15.3%	4.3%		√		84.6%	↑
	35-64	13.8%	3.9%		√		84.2%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	72.4%	↑
Male	18-34	14.0%	3.6%		√		87.6%	↑
	35-64	12.6%	3.2%		√		84.4%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	73.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating adults in their household did not eat for a whole day due to limited money for food over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	5.2%	↓	3.8%	↓
	35-64	8.0%	↓	5.4%	↓
	65+	8.8%	↓	4.4%	↓
Male	18-34	7.0%	↓	7.0%	↓
	35-64	10.4%	↓	11.2%	↓
	65+	11.8%	↓	8.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.			√	37.2%	–
	35-64	.	.			√	30.0%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	16.4%	↓
Male	18-34	.	.			√	49.2%	–
	35-64	24.6%	8.0%		√		54.8%	–
	65+	.	.			√	29.4%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating adults in their household did not eat for a whole day almost every month due to limited money for food over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	65.0%	↑	54.0%	–
	35-64	65.2%	↑	60.4%	–
	65+	46.8%	–	42.6%	–
Male	18-34	63.2%	–	54.2%	–
	35-64	67.4%	↑	58.8%	–
	65+	49.8%	–	44.8%	–

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.			√	1.8%	↓
	35-64	.	.			√	5.0%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	8.6%	↓
Male	18-34	.	.			√	6.6%	↓
	35-64	.	.			√	5.8%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	11.0%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating they cut the size of children's meals due to limited money for food over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	8.4%	↓	10.0%	↓
	35-64	6.2%	↓	6.4%	↓
	65+	3.2%	↓	3.2%	↓
Male	18-34	9.6%	↓	10.8%	↓
	35-64	7.0%	↓	7.6%	↓
	65+	3.4%	↓	3.4%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.			√	52.2%	–
	35-64	.	.			√	42.6%	–
	65+	.	.			√	12.6%	↓
Male	18-34	.	.			√	55.0%	–
	35-64	.	.			√	52.4%	–
	65+	.	.			√	13.8%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating that children in their household skipped meals due to limited money for food over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	8.0%	↓	9.4%	↓
	35-64	8.6%	↓	17.1%	↓
	65+	4.4%	↓	5.4%	↓
Male	18-34	4.4%	↓	3.2%	↓
	35-64	4.8%	↓	4.4%	↓
	65+	4.2%	↓	4.4%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.			√	54.6%	–
	35-64	.	.			√	66.3%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	15.9%	↓
Male	18-34	.	.			√	18.9%	↓
	35-64	.	.			√	24.3%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	9.0%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating children in their household skipped meals almost every month due to limited money for food over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	88.7%	27.3%		√	
	35-64	90.5%	25.6%		√	
	65+	95.5%	16.4%		√	
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	82.1%	↑	92.9%	↑
	35-64	86.2%	↑	92.9%	↑
	65+	91.8%	↑	96.6%	↑
Male	18-34	73.9%	↑	83.6%	↑
	35-64	73.5%	↑	84.0%	↑
	65+	76.5%	↑	89.2%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	88.7%	27.3%		√		92.9%	↑
	35-64	90.5%	25.6%		√		92.9%	↑
	65+	95.5%	16.4%		√		96.6%	↑
Male	18-34	.	.			√	83.6%	↑
	35-64	.	.			√	84.0%	↑
	65+	.	.			√	89.2%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating children in their household went hungry due to limited money for food over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	5.0%	↓	4.2%	↓
	35-64	18.0%	↓	16.2%	↓
	65+	7.2%	↓	7.0%	↓
Male	18-34	5.2%	↓	1.8%	↓
	35-64	17.2%	↓	0.6%	↓
	65+	5.0%	↓	5.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.			√	19.8%	↓
	35-64	.	.			√	37.9%	–
	65+	.	.			√	9.6%	↓
Male	18-34	.	.			√	5.8%	↓
	35-64	.	.			√	0.0%	↓
	65+	.	.			√	3.2%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	13.8%	0.0%	√		
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating children in their household went hungry for a whole day due to limited money for food over the past year.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34
	35-64
	65+
Male	18-34
	35-64
	65+

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	.	.			√	.	.
	35-64	.	.			√	.	.
	65+	.	.			√	.	.
Male	18-34	.	.			√	.	.
	35-64	.	.			√	.	.
	65+	.	.			√	.	.

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents receiving a Household Food Security Status index score of: "Moderately Food Insecure" or "Severely Food Insecure".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	14.1%	4.1%		√	
	35-64	10.1%	3.0%		√	
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	10.8%	3.3%		√	
	35-64	7.6%	2.4%		√	
	65+	.	.			√

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	94.4%	↑	96.0%	↑
	35-64	94.2%	↑	96.0%	↑
	65+	89.6%	↑	90.4%	↑
Male	18-34	94.2%	↑	95.2%	↑
	35-64	94.8%	↑	96.4%	↑
	65+	89.0%	↑	89.6%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	9.4%	2.0%	√			63.6%	-
	35-64	5.4%	0.8%		√		61.4%	-
	65+	.	.			√	52.6%	-
Male	18-34	5.7%	0.9%		√		57.0%	-
	35-64	4.0%	0.6%		√		66.4%	↑
	65+	2.2%	0.7%		√		50.2%	-

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating being overweight or obese.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	20.7%	3.9%		√	
	35-64	33.0%	5.4%		√	
	65+	36.0%	5.6%		√	
Male	18-34	34.7%	5.4%		√	
	35-64	45.1%	9.0%	√		
	65+	53.3%	6.1%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.2%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
Male	18-34	0.0%	↓	0.0%	↓
	35-64	0.4%	↓	0.0%	↓
	65+	0.2%	↓	0.0%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	28.0%	3.6%	√			7.4%	↓
	35-64	48.9%	2.9%	√			7.2%	↓
	65+	59.4%	4.0%	√			10.2%	↓
Male	18-34	55.6%	3.7%	√			9.6%	↓
	35-64	63.6%	3.1%	√			12.6%	↓
	65+	61.3%	4.7%	√			18.4%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	34.9%	7.0%		√	
	35-64	50.2%	8.1%		√	
	65+	53.4%	8.1%		√	
Male	18-34	52.0%	8.0%		√	
	35-64	67.4%	16.2%	√		
	65+	69.7%	7.2%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents indicating having diabetes.

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	7.7%	2.3%		√	
	65+	22.1%	5.5%		√	
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	8.2%	2.3%		√	
	65+	23.3%	5.4%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	71.0%	↑	84.8%	↑
	35-64	82.4%	↑	95.2%	↑
	65+	80.4%	↑	94.6%	↑
Male	18-34	74.8%	↑	87.8%	↑
	35-64	82.4%	↑	93.8%	↑
	65+	82.4%	↑	96.4%	↑

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	3.3%	1.0%	√			71.2%	↑
	35-64	5.0%	1.2%	√			92.6%	↑
	65+	15.5%	3.7%	√			93.8%	↑
Male	18-34	1.0%	0.3%		√		74.6%	↑
	35-64	7.0%	1.5%	√			90.0%	↑
	65+	26.2%	4.3%	√			94.0%	↑

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√
Male	18-34	.	.			√
	35-64	.	.			√
	65+	.	.			√

[return to summary table...](#)

Percentage of respondents receiving a Physical Activity Index score of "Active" or "Moderately Active".

Table 1. Community Estimates.

		Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
Gender	Age Group	Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	53.2%	7.4%		√	
	35-64	45.9%	7.2%		√	
	65+	40.2%	7.1%		√	
Male	18-34	53.0%	7.4%		√	
	35-64	50.6%	10.3%	√		
	65+	39.9%	7.0%		√	

Table 2. Percentage of Community Bootstraps above the Regional and Provincial Estimates.

		Regional Comparison		Provincial Comparison	
Gender	Age Group	Percentage Above	Comparison	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	45.0%	–	33.2%	↓
	35-64	44.0%	–	33.4%	↓
	65+	44.2%	–	33.4%	↓
Male	18-34	43.0%	–	31.8%	↓
	35-64	42.4%	–	32.4%	↓
	65+	44.8%	–	30.8%	↓

...continued on next page

Table 3. Regional Estimates, Including the Percentage of Regional Bootstraps above the Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)					Provincial Comparison	
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable	Percentage Above	Comparison
Female	18-34	58.3%	3.6%	√			12.4%	↓
	35-64	47.3%	3.0%	√			10.4%	↓
	65+	40.8%	3.6%	√			14.6%	↓
Male	18-34	51.3%	3.8%	√			13.4%	↓
	35-64	47.9%	3.3%	√			12.0%	↓
	65+	39.5%	4.2%	√			11.6%	↓

Table 4. Provincial Estimates.

Gender	Age Group	Percentage of Respondents Indicating Given Response(s)				
		Percentage	Standard Error	Weighted	Modeled	Unreleasable
Female	18-34	58.3%	14.6%	√		
	35-64	51.2%	16.8%	√		
	65+	43.9%	5.5%		√	
Male	18-34	56.9%	5.6%		√	
	35-64	49.2%	14.0%	√		
	65+	43.8%	5.9%		√	

[return to summary table...](#)